

# Numerical Optimization

## Homework 3

Due 11.06.2014

Give your answers with logical and/or mathematical explanations. Hand-in your homework in the beginning of a lecture on due date. Late submissions will not be accepted. Assigned points are shown in square brackets, which will be re-scaled so that the total homeworks points will be 40.

**1.[5]** Consider the (exact) line search problem for a given point  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a descent direction  $p_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , searching for  $\alpha_k > 0$  that solves

$$\min_{\alpha > 0} \phi(\alpha) = f(x_k + \alpha p_k)$$

for a continuously differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Show that for a convex quadratic function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T Qx + c^T x$  where  $Q$  is positive definite, the unique global minimizer  $\alpha_k > 0$  of  $\phi(\alpha)$  is given by

$$\alpha_k = -\frac{\nabla f(x_k)^T p_k}{p_k^T Q p_k}.$$

Hint: first show that  $\phi(\alpha)$  is a strictly convex function.

**2.[5]** For a twice continuously differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , denote its Hessian matrix at a point  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $H_k = \nabla^2 f(x_k)$ . Suppose that the Hessian is positive definite and has a uniformly bounded condition number, that is, for there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$\kappa(H_k) = \|H_k\|_2 \|H_k^{-1}\|_2 \leq M \quad \forall k.$$

Show in this case the Newton direction  $p_k^N = -H_k^{-1} \nabla f(x_k)$  satisfies that

$$\cos \theta_k = \frac{-\nabla f(x_k)^T p_k^N}{\|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2 \|p_k^N\|_2} \in \left[ \frac{1}{M}, 1 \right].$$

**3.[15]** (Backtracking Linesearch)

A popular line search strategy is called the *backtracking* line search, which typically uses only the Armijo condition to check satisfactory step sizes. A sketch of the backtracking line search algorithm is shown in Algorithm 1. **[3pt]** This algorithm is guaranteed to find an  $\alpha_k > 0$  and perhaps not too small one if  $\alpha_0$  is sufficiently large. Explain briefly why this is true.

**[12pt]** A typical minimization loop is illustrated in Algorithm 2. Implement the minimization loop with backtracking line search in **R**, using the steepest descent and the Newton directions to find a stationary point of the Rosenbrock function<sup>1</sup>. We've shown that  $(1, 1)^T$  is a unique minimizer, in homework 2. Try two starting points,  $(1.2, 1.2)^T$  and  $(-1.2, 1)^T$ , for two different choices of search directions, to see if they find the minimizer and how they behave differently.

For implementation, we recommend using the following values:

---

<sup>1</sup>The **R** code for  $f$ ,  $\nabla f$ , and  $\nabla^2 f$  are available from the lecture website.

- Minimization:  $tol = 10^{-16}$  and  $max.iter = 100$ .
- Line search:  $\alpha_0 = 1.0$ ,  $\rho = 0.5$ ,  $c_1 = 10^{-4}$  and  $max.ls.iter = 25$ .

---

**Algorithm 1:** Backtracking Linesearch
 

---

**Input:**  $x_k, f, \nabla f, \alpha_0 > 0, \rho \in (0, 1), c_1 \in (0, 1)$ , and  $max.ls.iter > 0$ ;  
 $\alpha \leftarrow \alpha_0$ ;  
 $ls.iter \leftarrow 0$ ;  
**while**  $ls.iter < max.ls.iter$  **do**  
  **if**  $f(x_k + \alpha p_k) \leq f(x_k) + c_1 \alpha \nabla f(x_k)^T p_k$  **then**  
    | break;  
  **end**  
   $\alpha \leftarrow \rho \alpha$ ;  
   $ls.iter \leftarrow ls.iter + 1$ ;  
**end**  
**Output:**  $\alpha_k = \alpha$ .

---



---

**Algorithm 2:** Minimization Loop
 

---

**Input:**  $x_0, f, \nabla f, \nabla^2 f, tol > 0$ , and  $max.iter > 0$ ;  
 $k \leftarrow 0$ ;  
 $x_k \leftarrow x_0$ ;  
**while**  $k < max.iter$  **do**  
  **if**  $\|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2 < tol$  **then**  
    | break;  
  **end**  
  Choose a descent direction  $p_k$ ;  
  Choose  $\alpha_k$  from linesearch;  
   $x_k \leftarrow x_k + \alpha_k p_k$ ;  
   $k \leftarrow k + 1$ ;  
**end**  
**Output:**  $x^* = x_k$ .

---

Points will be given as follows: running implementation of line search with steepest descent direction (2pt + 3pt if correct) and newton's direction (2pt + 3pt if correct). 2pt will be given to correct observations of the difference between the steepest descent and Newton's methods from the output of your code.

Submit:

- (1) print out your R code.
- (2) for each of two starting points and the two choices of search directions, print and submit the following information in each iteration of Algorithm 2,

- Iteration number  $k = 0, 1, \dots$
- Chosen step size  $\alpha_k$ .
- Function value at  $x_{k+1}$ .
- $\|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\|_2$ .

Before beginning the while loop, show the function value at  $x_0$  and  $\|\nabla f(x_0)\|_2$  and check if  $x_0$  satisfies the stopping condition so that the code will immediately return if  $x_0 = (1, 1)^T$  is given.